

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/829,066	NISSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Frank W Lu	1634

All Participants:

Status of Application: after non-final rejection

(1) Frank W Lu. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Aaron Schwartz (Req. No. 48,181). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2004

Time: 2 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant agrees with the examiner's amendments..*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3, 4, 82, and 83

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Schwartz agrees with the examiner's amendments.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)